## **REMARKS**

Claims 1-14 are pending in this application. By this Amendment, claims 1, 2, 4-7 and 12-14 are amended. Support for the subject matter added by the amendments is found, for example, in Figs. 17A and 17B, and at pages 83-87 of the specification.

Entry of the amendments is proper under 37 CFR §1.116 since the amendments: (a) place the application in condition for allowance (for the reasons discussed herein); (b) do not raise any new issue requiring further search and/or consideration (as the amendments amplify issues previously discussed throughout prosecution); (c) satisfy a requirement of form asserted in the previous Office Action; (d) do not present any additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims; and (e) place the application in better form for appeal, should an appeal be necessary. The amendments are necessary and were not earlier presented because they are made in response to arguments raised in the final rejection. Entry of the amendments is thus respectfully requested.

In sections 5-1 through 5-3 on pages 2-20, the Office Action rejects claims 1-12 based on various combinations of U.S. Patent No. 6,635,089 to Burkette et al. (hereinafter "Burkette"), U.S. Patent No. 6,013,680 to Sato et al. (hereinafter "Sato"), U.S. Patent No. 5,438,512 to Mantha et al. (hereinafter "Mantha"), Applicants' Admitted Prior Art (hereinafter "AAPA") and U.S. Patent No. 6,643,633 to Chau et al. (hereinafter "Chau"). These rejections are respectfully traversed.

Claims 1, 7 and 12-14, from which claims 2-6 and 8-10 depend, recite, according to various combinations, a plurality of extraction rules, a processing invocation description analyzing means for analyzing processing invocation descriptions, and an error notice document synthesizing means for synthesizing syntax errors of rules detected by the rule separating means for an error notice, a processing invocation description synthesizing means

Application No. 09/765,434

for synthesizing the processing invocation descriptions and error notice for assessing an error

notice page.

It is respectfully submitted that none of the various combinations of Burkette, Sato,

Mantha, Chau and AAPA disclose, teach or suggest the subject matter recited above

according to the various combinations recited in the rejected claims.

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is respectfully requested that the rejections of

claims 1-14 in sections 5-1 through 5-3 on pages 2-20 of the Office Action be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that this application is in

condition for allowance. Favorable reconsideration and prompt allowance of claims 1-14 are

earnestly solicited.

Should the Examiner believe that anything further would be desirable in order to place

this application in even better condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact the

undersigned at the telephone number set forth below.

Respectfully submitted,

James A. Oliff

Registration No. 27,075

Mark R. Woodall

Registration No. 43,286

JAO:MRW/djb

Date: January 18, 2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC

P.O. Box 19928

Alexandria, Virginia 22320

Telephone: (703) 836-6400

**DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE** AUTHORIZATION

Moodel

Please grant any extension

necessary for entry;

Charge any fee due to our

Deposit Account No. 15-0461